POLICY BRIEF DEVELOPMENT

MENTORSHIP SESSION 1

From a public problem to a defensible introduction and outline

Prepared and facilitated by Mohamad Kanso

THE POLICY THINKING PROCESS

From public concern to a decision-ready brief

01 DEFINE

Who is affected, what is the specific public problem, and why does it require collective action?

02 INVESTIGATE

What do laws, data, experience and credible research establish — and what remains uncertain?

03 DESIGN

Compare realistic policy responses, choose justified recommendations and identify who can act.

A policy brief is not a description of an issue. It is an evidence-based argument for a feasible response.

What is a policy brief?

A concise, evidence-informed document that defines a public problem, assesses possible responses, and recommends action to a specific decision-maker.

It is NOT

A general essay, a list of complaints, a research dump, or a draft law.

It IS

An argument for a decision: what should change, why, who can act, and how.

Why write one — and who is reading?

The purpose

Make a public issue understandable; identify the cost of inaction; compare feasible options; recommend a concrete response.

The reader

A minister, MP, municipality, public agency, or advocacy coalition. Ask: What can this reader actually decide? What evidence would persuade them?

A policy brief is written for action, not merely for information.

The anatomy of a useful brief

TITLE + EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	The issue, central finding, and proposed action
INTRODUCTION	The problem, why it matters, who is affected
EVIDENCE + CURRENT FRAMEWORK	What is known; what laws and institutions already do
ANALYSIS + POLICY OPTIONS	Why the gap persists; possible responses and trade-offs
RECOMMENDATIONS + IMPLEMENTATION	What should change; who acts; resources and risks
REFERENCES	Traceable sources for claims and data

Think like a policy analyst

WHAT IS HAPPENING?

State a measurable or observable condition.

WHY IS IT HAPPENING?

Distinguish causes from symptoms.

WHAT HAS BEEN TRIED?

Identify existing law, policy, delivery and gaps.

WHAT COULD CHANGE?

Consider options, responsibility, feasibility and trade-offs.

Do not begin with your preferred solution. First establish the problem.

From broad topic to policy question

Too broad

Youth participation in Lebanon.

A theme, not a researchable policy problem.

Better

Barriers preventing rural youth from influencing local public decisions.

A defined issue and population.

Researchable

Which legal and institutional mechanisms could enable regular youth input into municipal decisions in rural districts?

An actionable question.

Specify the population • location • institutional gap • decision to influence

A research plan before opening a browser

Claim to test	What exactly are we trying to establish?
Evidence needed	Law? official statistic? interview? budget?
Best source	Who produces or holds that information?
Verification	Date, scope, original document, corroboration
Use in brief	Context, problem, gap, option or recommendation

Where to research in Lebanon

1 Law and official decisions

Constitution, Official Gazette, Parliament, ministry decrees and regulations.

2 Public evidence

Central Administration of Statistics, ministries, municipal records, published budgets.

3 Independent analysis

Universities, reputable research centers, NGOs and international organizations.

4 Field experience

Interviews, focus groups, observations; label them as primary qualitative evidence.

Source hierarchy depends on the claim: the legal text for law; the statistical producer for data.

How to test a source

AUTHOR

Who produced it?

DATE

Is it current for this claim?

METHOD

How was the information collected?

SCOPE

Which people and places does it cover?

TRACEABILITY

Can the original be opened and checked?

CONFLICT

Does another credible source disagree?

A claim without a traceable source is a research question—not yet a fact.

RESEARCH DEMONSTRATION

From a legal rule to a defensible policy claim

QUESTION

What is the voting-age rule in Lebanon, and what would changing it legally require?

PRIMARY LEGAL EVIDENCE

Article 21 of the Lebanese Constitution sets the voting age at 21. Record the official text and citation; check electoral-law conditions and the constitutional amendment procedure.

WHAT IT PROVES — AND DOES NOT

The legal threshold is a fact. Whether lowering it to 18 would improve turnout or representation is a separate empirical question requiring comparative data and analysis.

RESEARCH LOG

Claim → source and date → what it proves → what it does not prove → citation → next source needed.

Source route: Constitution → electoral law → comparative evidence → feasibility analysis. Verify current legal texts.

Turn sources into analysis

Source finding

“A programme report identifies barriers to rural youth participation.”

This is a reported observation.

Analytical question

Which barriers are legal, financial, geographic or institutional? How do they affect participation?

Policy implication

Different barriers require different responses: legal reform, consultation mechanisms, transport or information access.

Separate fact • interpretation • proposal. Label uncertainty honestly.

Build the skeleton before drafting paragraphs

Weak outline

1. Introduction
2. Youth
3. Laws
4. Problems
5. Solutions

Useful outline

1. Claim to establish
2. Evidence/source to use
3. Existing legal or institutional response
4. Gap or competing explanation
5. Options and recommended actor

Every section must answer a question and advance the central argument.

Recommendations that can survive scrutiny

VAGUE

“Increase youth participation.”

SPECIFIC

“Establish a recurring municipal consultation mechanism with published agendas and written responses.”

TEST IT

Who establishes it? Under what authority? How is membership selected? What resources are needed? How is success measured?

A recommendation = action + responsible institution + rationale + feasibility.

Worked example: the policy challenge

FROM MARGINALIZATION TO ACTIVE CITIZENSHIP

How can public institutions expand meaningful political participation and equal citizenship for young people, particularly in peripheral districts?

This is a broader, illustrative policy paper—not a five-page thematic brief.

We will use one coherent argument, not four disconnected essays.

One argument, four connected reform strands

POLITICAL RIGHTS

Voting age: legal eligibility to participate

EQUAL CITIZENSHIP

Nationality transmission: unequal access to citizenship rights

REPRESENTATION

Barriers to candidacy and elected office

INSTITUTIONAL VOICE

Regular youth consultation in public decisions

Unifying question: Who can participate, on what terms, and through which institutions?

Research the example: claim → source → gap

REFORM AREA	STARTING SOURCE	RESEARCH TASK
Voting eligibility	Constitution Art. 21; electoral framework	Confirm current text and amendment route
Nationality rights	1925 nationality framework; subsequent legal materials	Distinguish legal text from proposed reform
Youth candidacy	Electoral laws; candidacy rules; representation data	Identify legal vs practical barriers
Youth consultation	Municipal framework; published local practices	Test whether mechanisms exist and function

The research matrix records what still needs verification—not merely what we hope is true.

From Marginalization to Active Citizenship

OPENING + EVIDENCE

Executive summary (written last)
- Findings, priority actions, responsible actors

1. Introduction
- Rights, participation and institutional access
- Four connected reform questions

2. Problem and evidence
- Voting eligibility and its implications
- Nationality rights and affected families
- Candidacy, representation and access
- Youth consultation and institutional response
- Data, interviews and verification gaps

FRAMEWORK + OPTIONS

3. Legal and institutional framework
- Constitution Article 21 and electoral rules
- Nationality law and legal developments
- Candidacy conditions and practical barriers
- Municipal and national consultation channels

4. Evidence-based policy gaps
- Legal restrictions vs practical obstacles
- Unequal nationality transmission
- Barriers to youth representation
- Consultation without consistent follow-up

5. Policy options and trade-offs
- Electoral reform and alternatives
- Nationality reform approaches
- Candidate access measures
- Youth advisory and hearing mechanisms

ACTION + DELIVERY

6. Policy recommendations
- Electoral reform: legislative route and actors
- Nationality rights: equality proposals
- Representation: access and support measures
- Consultation: regular institutional channels

7. Implementation and monitoring
- Parliament, ministries and municipalities
- Sequence, costs and administrative capacity
- Inclusion safeguards and accountability
- Indicators: participation, replies, access

8. Conclusion
- Principal findings and prioritized actions
- Next institutional steps

References and research limitations
- Primary law, official data, field evidence
- Unverified claims and counterarguments

How the completed skeleton becomes the opening

PARAGRAPH 1 — CONTEXT

Establish that political inclusion depends on legal rights, institutional channels and the opportunity to influence decisions. Define geographic and population scope.

PARAGRAPH 2 — PROBLEM + EVIDENCE

Introduce the four distinct but connected barriers. Cite authoritative legal provisions and clearly attributed empirical evidence; do not present proposed reforms as established findings.

PARAGRAPH 3 — PURPOSE + POLICY QUESTION

State the paper’s central question, the institutions it addresses and the options it will examine. The introduction previews the analysis rather than announcing unsupported conclusions.

Read the full draft introduction on the following slide; compare every paragraph against the outline.

Example outline — I. Diagnose the problem

1. Executive summary	Draft last: key problem, findings, prioritized actions and responsible institutions.
2. Introduction	Use the working draft; insert verified references and sharpen geographic scope.
3. Participation barriers	Separate legal eligibility, citizenship status, representation and institutional access; map available evidence.
4. Existing framework	Examine constitutional, nationality, electoral and municipal frameworks; distinguish written rules from practice.

For every subsection: claim • evidence • source • unresolved question.

From Marginalization to Active Citizenship

Public participation is shaped not only by individual interest, but also by legal rights, institutional channels and practical opportunities to influence decisions. For young people in Lebanon, electoral eligibility, equal citizenship, access to elected office and mechanisms for public consultation raise distinct yet connected questions about who can participate and how that participation can affect public policy. Examining these questions together provides a framework for understanding the relationship between formal rights and meaningful political voice.

The legal framework is an essential starting point. Article 21 of the Lebanese Constitution establishes a voting-age threshold of twenty-one. The nationality framework raises a separate question concerning the ability of Lebanese mothers and fathers to transmit citizenship. Eligibility rules for candidacy and the procedures through which institutions consult the public must also be examined. The existence of a legal rule, however, does not establish its social effects. Any assessment of those effects requires reliable evidence, including official records, credible research and the experiences of affected groups.

Practical barriers deserve equal attention. Young people may face obstacles involving information, the costs of participation, limited access to public officials or consultation processes that do not produce meaningful institutional responses. These experiences may differ by gender, location and socioeconomic circumstances. Rural and peripheral communities warrant particular examination, while local observations must not be generalized nationally without adequate supporting data. This paper therefore distinguishes verified legal facts from empirical claims that remain to be tested.

The analysis addresses four connected areas: voting-age eligibility, nationality rights, youth political representation and institutionalized consultation. Its central question is which legal and administrative measures could expand inclusive, consequential participation while remaining feasible and accountable. It first examines existing laws and institutional arrangements, then evaluates documented gaps and alternative policy responses. The final sections develop recommendations, identify the public institutions responsible for implementation, and propose indicators for monitoring progress. The purpose is not to presume that every reform is equally effective, but to establish a transparent basis for deciding which changes are justified by evidence.

Dissect the introduction

Paragraph 1 — CONTEXT

Defines participation through legal rights, institutional access and meaningful political voice; introduces the four connected reform areas.

Paragraph 2 — LEGAL FRAMEWORK

Identifies voting age, nationality, candidacy and consultation rules; separates established legal facts from claims about their social effects.

Paragraph 3 — PRACTICAL BARRIERS

Explains information, cost and access barriers, especially in peripheral areas; acknowledges gaps in the available evidence.

Paragraph 4 — PURPOSE

States the central policy question, scope, analytical sequence and intended institutional audience without presuming the recommendations.

A credible introduction is clear about what is known, what is proposed, and what remains to be tested.

YOUR POLICY BRIEF STARTS HERE

Four policy areas. One research and writing method.

01 **Agriculture and Rural Livelihoods**

02 **Ecotourism and Community Development**

03 **Public Health Access and Healthcare Coverage**

04 **Citizenship and Women's Rights**

YOUR TASK — APPLY THE METHOD

Choose a specific policy problem within your assigned area. Research the existing legal and policy framework, relevant evidence and gaps. Build a complete numbered outline with subsections, key arguments, sources and preliminary recommendations. Then draft a substantive introduction grounded in that outline.

OUTPUTS: Detailed policy brief outline + Draft introduction